

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

January 24, 2003

Number: **INFO 2003-0033** CONEX-100236-03

Release Date: 3/31/2003 UIL: 3121.02-10	CC:TEGE:EOEG:ET2
Dear :	
I apologize for the delay in responding to your Congressman Joseph M. Hoeffel concerning to Contributions Act (FICA) tax refund claim you resident from through . I hope the	the status of your Federal Insurance
We received many refund claims as a result on <i>Minnesota v Apfel</i> . In <i>State of Minnesota</i> , the employed in residency programs the Universit within the meaning of the Social Security Act. tax on their wages. Many institutions, and sor as a result of this decision.	Eighth Circuit held that medical residents by of Minnesota sponsored were students Therefore, they did not need to pay FICA
These refund claims are based on section 312	` , ` ,

That section provides that services students perform are excepted from FICA taxes. A "student" is defined as an individual who is employed by a school, college, or university at which the individual is enrolled and regularly attending classes.

We are considering a representative sample of refund claims involving medical and dental residents and interns. We hope to apply our conclusions from these cases to refund claims involving similarly situated residency programs. We believe this approach will ensure consistent application of the law and minimize the taxpayer burden that would result if we examined each claim. We hope to complete this process within the next few months. Then we will grant or deny claims, as appropriate.

Under the Freedom of Information Act, we will make this letter available to the public after we delete names, addresses, and other identifying information.

If we can assist you fur	her in this matter, please contact me or	
of this office at		

Sincerely, LYNNE CAMILLO Chief, Employment Tax Branch 2 Division Counsel/Associate Chief Counsel (Tax Exempt and Government Entities)